

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
29 August 2002 (29.08.2002)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 02/066173 A1**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **B05C 11/04**,  
D21H 25/10, C22C 38/22

(21) International Application Number: PCT/EP02/01280

(22) International Filing Date: 7 February 2002 (07.02.2002)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:  
0100505-7 16 February 2001 (16.02.2001) SE

(71) Applicant (*for all designated States except US*): **BTG  
ECLEPENS S.A.** [CH/CH]; CH-1312 Eclépens (CH).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): **BERAN, Antoine**  
[CH/CH]; Chemin des Fleurettes 28, CH-1007 Lausanne  
(CH). **FRETI, Silvano** [CH/CH]; Chemin de Senaugin 12,  
CH-1162 St. Prex (CH).

(74) Agent: **BURMAN, Tore**; Awapatent AB, Box 45086,  
S-104 30 Stockholm (SE).

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT (utility model), AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ (utility model), DE (utility model), DK (utility model), DM, DZ, EC, EE (utility model), ES, FI (utility model), GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK (utility model), SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.


(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

(54) Title: A SELF-ADJUSTING BLADE



(57) Abstract: A self-adjusting blade for engagement with a moving work surface, comprising a steel strip elongated in a first direction between first and second sides, said strip having an edge section along said first side for contact with said work surface, and said edge section being hardened to a hardness exceeding that of the remaining part of said strip. The self-adjusting blade is provided with a coating of a low wear resistencial material covering substantially all of said edge section at least on the part thereof contacting the work surface.

WO 02/066173 A1

A SELF-ADJUSTING BLADETechnical field

The present invention relates to self-adjusting blades for engagement with a moving work surface, said  
5 blades being useful for coating, creping, doctoring and other scraping operations in the printing industry, in flexogravure or rotogravure techniques.

Technical background and state of the art

10 Although the present invention is not restricted hereto it will in the following be described mainly in relation to the coating of paper substrates.

Blades used in conventional coating techniques are usually made of different types of materials. Among such  
15 materials there may be mentioned high-strength, hardened and tempered carbon steels, blade substrates covered at the edge or tip with ceramic hard wear-resistant materials, such as described in British patent 2 130 924, and low alloyed steel with local hardening of the edge sec-  
20 tion, as described in EP 0 672 761.

Blades made of hardened and tempered carbon steel exhibit quite poor wear resistance behaviour and have to be replaced frequently in view of the abrasive wear caused by the base paper and the coating colour pigments.  
25 Their hardness is typically within the range 500 to 600 HV depending on the thickness of the steel strip.

On the other hand the low abrasion resistance of such steel blades allows a short self-adjusting period when installed in a coater machine. This makes the blade  
30 easy to use and non-sensitive to the exact coater setting or to existing unevenness in geometrical conditions along the blade holder. This is especially important for coating using stiff blade mode, i.e. when the angle between

the tip of the blade and the paper on the coater is high, usually  $20^\circ$  or more.

Another feature of carbon steel blades is their behaviour of wear at the site of coating colour entrance in stiff blade mode. According to the literature (Schachtel et al., Wochenblatt für Papierfabrikation 16-1993, p 661-667) a round wear form can be obtained (see Fig. 1 of the literature reference). A small but visible radius ( $r$ ) is formed at the entrance site of contact between the blade and the base paper. This radius results by the combination of erosive effect of the coating colour impingement and the abrasive effect of the paper fibres. Such feature is of primary interest for rotogravure type of coating recipe, where the pigments are mainly constituted by platelets with a high shape factor. The existence of such a radius ( $r$ ) assists in the proper orientation of the coating colour pigments before passing beneath the blade resulting in optimum printability characteristics.

Hard material tipped blades, such as blades with a ceramic coating, as well as edge section hardened low alloy steel blades perform better than carbon steel blades in terms of life period. Blades tipped with hard material exhibit typical hardness values of the tip in the range from 900 to 1200 HV, while the locally hardened edge section of low alloy steel blades reaches about 800 HV, the rest of the blade reaching about 600 HV.

Although the wear resistance property is an important factor in the industrial interest for such blades, such property is at the same time a limitation in their use in view of the necessity to adapt specifically each tip design according to the exact running condition of the blade and the setting of the blade holder in the coating machine. The high wear resistance does not allow incorrect setting because it will take too long to adjust the bevel in a running-in period. This is normally not acceptable in industrial coating conditions and could result in poor MD and CD profiles of the coated paper

and/or poor surface quality. Furthermore, the rounding of the entrance point as described above will not be formed as readily.

5 Brief summary of the invention

The features described above form the basis for resolving the problems encountered with the prior art and the invention seeks to provide a solution wherein the advantages of using materials of high wear resistance are  
10 combined with the advantages of using materials of lower wear resistance.

One object of the invention is, accordingly, to provide a blade which will behave similarly to a carbon steel blade when loaded and during the running-in period,  
15 i.e. obtaining self-adjusting performance of the blade.

Another object of the invention is to provide a blade which after a short running-in period will behave in the same way as a locally hardened edge section of a low alloy steel blade resulting in high wear resistance  
20 performance.

Still another object of the invention is to provide a blade capable of wear to result in a rounded entrance contact site, with the major part of the metering surface in contact with the base paper and the coating colour  
25 performs similarly to low alloy steel blades with a local hardened section.

For these and other objects which will be clear from the following disclosure the invention provides for a self-adjusting blade for engagement with a moving work  
30 surface. The blade comprises a steel strip elongated in a first direction between first and second sides, said strip having an edge section along said first side for contact with said work surface, and said edge section being hardened to a hardness exceeding that of the remaining part of said strip. Said second side is intended for  
35 attachment to a blade holder in a conventional manner. The blade according to the invention is characterized by

a coating of a low wear resistance material covering substantially all of said edge section at least on the part thereof contacting the work surface.

According to one embodiment of the invention said  
5 steel strip is constituted by a low alloyed steel hardened to a hardness of between about 400 and 600 HV, said edge section being further hardened to a hardness of between about 700 and 900 HV.

A preferred embodiment of such blade is one wherein  
10 said steel strip is constituted by a cold rolled hardened and tempered strip having the composition (percent by weight):

C 0.46 - 0.70;  
Si 0.2 - 1.5;  
15 Mn 0.1 - 2.0;  
Cr 1.0 - 6.0;  
Mo 0.5 - 5 ;  
V 0.5 - 1.5;  
B  $\leq$  0.01 ;  
20 Ni  $\leq$  1.0 ;  
Nb  $\leq$  0.2.

The material of low wear resistance has suitably a hardness between about 200 and 600 HV. Suitable materials are pure metals, alloys, oxides, polymers, or mixtures of  
25 two or more thereof.

It is particularly preferred that said material of low wear resistance is selected from molybdenum containing up to 4% O<sub>2</sub>, Ni- or Co-based alloys, Cu-based alloy, AlSi/polyester blends or Co-base polymer blends, or  
30 stainless steel.

For ease of adaptation to the moving surface the edge section of the blade is preferably provided with a bevel on the side thereof contacting the moving surface.

The thickness of the blade substrate can vary from  
35 about 0.15 to about 0.8 mm. The thickness of the self-adjusting coating suitably lies within the range about 1 to about 100  $\mu$ m, preferably 20 to 50  $\mu$ m.

Brief description of the drawing

In the drawing Figures 1 and 2 show diagrammatically two types of incorrect setting of the blade vis-à-vis the moving surface;

Figure 3 shows diagrammatically the surface of engagement of the blade after the running-in period; and

Figure 4 shows diagrammatically in a cross-section of a detail of a blade in accordance with the present invention.

Detailed description of the invention

Figures 1 to 3 of the drawing show diagrammatically the operating part of a carbon steel blade operating under stiff mode, i.e. the angle  $\alpha$  being at least about 20°. The moving surface 1 of for example a backing roll in paper coating travels in the direction of the arrows. The operating part of blade 3 is provided with a bevel 5 for adaptation to the moving surface.

Figure 1 shows the situation in relation to a newly installed blade 3, the setting being slightly incorrect on the heel. Figure 2 shows another situation of incorrect setting on the toe. Figure 3 shows the blade 3 after a short running-in period, the blade being adjusted by wear to correct contact with the running surface 1 and a small radius (r) being formed at the entrance point.

Figure 4 shows a blade designed in accordance with the present invention. A steel strip 7 hardened and tempered to a hardness of about 600 HV has an edge section 9 further hardened and tempered to a hardness of about 780 HV. A preferred steel strip for use in the blade according to the invention is the Uddeholm Strip Longlife Coater Blade (Uddeholm Strip Steel AB, Munkfors, Sweden).

On the edge section 9 of the steel strip 7 bevelled with a given bevel 11, a layer of a material with self-adjusting performance is added. This coating 13 should have a hardness of between about 100 and 600 HV, prefera-



bly about 100 to 400 HV. The coating 13 can be of any material having the hardness indicated and can be selected from a broad group of materials, such as metals, alloys, low hardness oxides or oxide mixtures, polymers, or mixtures or composites thereof. A preferred material is a material of a metallic nature, which can be applied by spraying using plasma, arc wire or HVOF. The material can also be applied by galvanic or thin film techniques, such as PVD, CE PVD, etc. A particularly preferred coating material is a copper-based alloy, such as a copper-aluminum alloy applied by plasma spraying as described in an example below.

The present invention will now be further described by specific examples which, however, are not to be construed to restrict the scope of invention. In these examples parts and percentages are by weight if not otherwise indicated.

#### EXAMPLE 1

A comparative test was carried out on a pilot coater, using conventional edge section hardened low alloy steel and a self-adjusting blade according to the present invention.

The conditions were:

25	Base paper:	34 g/m <sup>2</sup> (Stora Enso)
	Coating colour formulation:	typical rotograde
	80 dry parts	Kaolin suprasmooth (Imerys)
	20 dry parts	Talc Helicoat 533 GR (Luzenac)
30	5 dry parts	Acrilic latec pr8763x(BASF)
	1 dry part	Calcium stearate C104 (Nopcoat)
	Solid content:	about 56%
	Viscosity:	about 1000 mPa:s
35	Coater conditions:	roll applicator, Beloit
		S-matic head
	Speed	1200 m/min

Blade thickness: 0.381 mm  
Blade bevel: 45° (stiff mode)  
Blade setting on the toe  
(48 to 49°)  
5 Targeted coat-weight: 8 g/m<sup>2</sup> per side

The steel blade had an edge section hardened tip from Uddeholm (called "reference"). The blade according to the invention was made of the same steel substrate as the steel blade used as reference, i.e. edge section hardened tip from Uddeholm with a copper-aluminum alloy as top layer (Sulzer Metco Diamm alloy 1004) applied by atmospheric plasma spraying, ground to a layer of about 50 microns after spraying (called "invention").

15 The results obtained on the coated paper quality after short pilot trials (about 20 min) were:

Reference: 8.7 gloss (Gardner)

Invention: 9.7 gloss (Gardner)

Burn-out tests were analysed using the Keops mottling test (Techpap-F) and the results are given in the table below.

TABLE

	Sample	Mottling index	Standard deviation
Reference	4015 4/F1 side 1	65.88	2.08
	4015 5/F2 side 2	75.44	3.78
Invention	4015 6/F1 side 1	59.64	3.07
	4015 7/F2 side 2	69.58	3.23

25 In this test the lower the mottling index the better the fibre coverage.

The improvement in the gloss number as well as in the burn-out test is relevant. The blade of the present invention allows to rapidly achieve a good coating quality in reducing the time of the running-in period.



EXAMPLE 2

A real trial was carried out on an off-line coater with the following conditions:

5

Base paper:	70 g/m <sup>2</sup>
Coating heads:	1 and 2 (precoat)
Speed:	about 900 m/min
Coatweight:	about 10 g/m <sup>2</sup> per side
10 Blade holder angle:	39°
Blade thickness	0.381 mm
Blade type:	same as in Example 1, with 35° bevel (stiff mode)
Life time:	6½ hours

15

The geometrical analysis of the worn blade shows a rounded shape at the coating colour entrance, according to the description of the invention. In this specific case, the value measured for the radius (r) is about 100  
20 microns. This confirms the ability of the low wear resistant layer to adapt the shape of the heel to the coating colour flow as a normal steel blade, as described in the technical background and state of the art.

CLAIMS

1. A self-adjusting blade for engagement with a moving work surface, comprising a steel strip elongated in a first direction between first and second sides, said  
5 strip having an edge section along said first side for contact with said work surface, and said edge section being hardened to a hardness exceeding that of the remaining part of said strip, characterized by a coating of a low wear resistance material covering substantially all  
10 of said edge section at least on the part thereof contacting the work surface.

2. A self-adjusting blade according to claim 1, wherein said steel strip is constituted by a low alloyed steel hardened to a hardness of between about 400 and 600  
15 HV, said edge section being further hardened to a hardness of between about 700 and 900 HV.

3. A self-adjusting blade according to claim 1 or 2, wherein said low wear resistance material has a hardness between about 100 and 600 HV.

20 4. A self-adjusting blade according to any preceding claim, wherein said steel strip is constituted by a cold rolled hardened and tempered strip having the composition (percent by weight):

C 0.46 - 0.70;  
25 Si 0.2 - 1.5;  
Mn 0.1 - 2.0;  
Cr 1.0 - 6.0;  
Mo 0.5 - 5 ;  
V 0.5 - 1.5;  
30 B  $\leq$  0.01 ;  
Ni  $\leq$  1.0 ;  
Nb  $\leq$  0.2.



5. A self-adjusting blade according to claim 4, wherein said material is selected from pure metals, alloys, oxides, polymers, or mixtures thereof.  
35

6. A self-adjusting blade according to claim 5, wherein said material is selected from molybdenum con-

taining up to 4% O<sub>2</sub>, Ni- or Co-based alloys, Cu-based alloy, AlSi/polyester blends or Co-base polymer blends, or stainless steel.

7. A self-adjusting blade according to claim 6,  
5 wherein said material is constituted by a copper-aluminum alloy.

8. A self-adjusting blade according to any preceding claim, wherein said edge section is provided with a bevel.



## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

P 02/01280

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 B05C11/04 D21H25/10 C22C38/22

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 B05C D21H C22C

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	EP 0 672 761 A (UDDEHOLM STEEL STRIP ; UDDEHOLM TOOLING AB (SE)) 20 September 1995 (1995-09-20) cited in the application column 5, line 36 - column 6, line 16; claim 1; figures	1-5, 8
Y	WO 98 26877 A (BTG KAELE INVENTING AB ; ERIKSSON TORE (SE); KARLSSON HAAKAN (SE)) 25 June 1998 (1998-06-25) page 1, line 27 - page 3, line 17 page 6, line 6 - line 11; claims; figures	1-5, 8
A	FR 2 765 813 A (NOVATEC) 15 January 1999 (1999-01-15) page 2, line 31 - page 3, line 9 page 11, line 9 - line 19; claims; figure 2	1

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents:

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- \*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- \*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- \*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- \* & \* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

16 May 2002

Date of mailing of the international search report

27/05/2002

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Brévier, F

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

on on patent family members

Int onal Application No

F EP 02/01280

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0672761	A	20-09-1995	SE 502969 C2	04-03-1996
			DE 69501276 D1	05-02-1998
			DE 69501276 T2	28-05-1998
			EP 0672761 A2	20-09-1995
			JP 7268542 A	17-10-1995
			SE 9400532 A	18-08-1995
WO 9826877	A	25-06-1998	SE 507926 C2	27-07-1998
			AT 205753 T	15-10-2001
			AU 723220 B2	24-08-2000
			AU 5353298 A	15-07-1998
			BR 9713957 A	21-03-2000
			CZ 9902095 A3	15-08-2001
			DE 69706880 D1	25-10-2001
			DE 69706880 T2	11-04-2002
			EP 0944438 A1	29-09-1999
			ES 2163807 T3	01-02-2002
			HU 0000381 A2	28-06-2000
			JP 2001506181 T	15-05-2001
			NZ 336229 A	27-03-2000
			PL 334298 A1	14-02-2000
			PT 944438 T	28-02-2002
			SE 9604697 A	20-06-1998
			WO 9826877 A1	25-06-1998
			SI 9720078 A	31-10-1999
			SK 78999 A3	10-12-1999
			TR 9901400 T2	22-11-1999
			US 6312520 B1	06-11-2001
FR 2765813	A	15-01-1999	FR 2765813 A1	15-01-1999
			AT 212909 T	15-02-2002
			AU 8734498 A	08-02-1999
			DE 69803767 D1	21-03-2002
			EP 0994778 A1	26-04-2000
			WO 9902346 A1	21-01-1999